

On the Continuous Dependence of Solutions of Integro-Fractional Differential Equations with Respect to Initial Conditions

S. M. Momani

Dept. of Mathematics and Comp. Sci., Faculty of Science, P. O. Box: 17551,
United Arab Emirates University, Al-Ain, UAE, E-mail: shahermmm@yahoo.com

S. B. Hadid

Dept. of Mathematics and Basic Science, Ajman University of Science and Tech.,
AL-Ain Center, P. O. Box: 17550. UAE, E-mail: sbhadid@yahoo.com

Abstract-The continuous dependence of the integro-fractional differential equations:

$$x^{(\alpha)}(t) = f(t, x(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t K(t, s(t), x(s)) ds, \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1$$

with respect to initial conditions have been investigated. We obtain two results concerning the existence and continuity of solutions with respect to initial conditions. Moreover, we obtain a result that involves estimating a function satisfying an integro-fractional differential inequality by the maximal solution of the corresponding equation.

Keywords-Fractional derivatives, Integro-differential equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the integro-differential equations with non-integer order of the type:

$$x^{(\alpha)}(t) = f(t, x(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t K(t, s(t), x(s)) ds, \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \quad (1.1)$$

with the initial condition:

$$x^{(\alpha-1)}(t_0) = x_0, \quad (1.2)$$

where \mathfrak{R} is the set of real numbers, $J = [t_0, t_0 + a]$, $a > 0$, $f \in C[J \times \mathfrak{R}^n, \mathfrak{R}^n]$, and $K \in C[J \times J \times \mathfrak{R}^n, \mathfrak{R}^n]$, where \mathfrak{R}^n denotes the real n -dimensional Euclidean space, and x_0 is a real positive constant.

In recent years, there has been an interest in the study of integro-fractional differential equations. In [8], we used Schauder's fixed-point theorem to obtain local existence, and Tychonov's fixed-point theorem to obtain global existence of solution

of (1.1) and (1.2). In [9], we used the successive approximations method and Arzela-Ascoli lemma to obtain existence and uniqueness of solution of equation (1.1) and (1.2). The existence of extremal (maximal and minimal) solutions of the integro-fractional differential equations (1.1) and (1.2) using comparison principle and Ascoli lemma have been investigated in [10]. While in [6], we obtained an asymptotically stable solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). Finally, in [11] we proved some important results concerning inequalities and (1.1) and (1.2).

On the other hands equations (1.1) and (1.2) are a generalization of the fractional differential equations:

$$x^{(\alpha)}(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad (1.3)$$

with the initial condition:

$$x^{(\alpha-1)}(t_0) = x_0. \quad (1.4)$$

The existence and uniqueness of the solution of (1.3) and (1.4), in addition to some analytical properties and important inequalities, are investigated in [4] and [5].

In this paper, we shall consider the problem of continuity of solutions of the integro-fractional differential equations (1.1) with respect to initial values (t_0, x_0) .

Also, we obtain a theorem involving estimating a function satisfying an integro-fractional differential inequality by the maximal solution of the corresponding equation. The prove of this theorem is different from that in [10], in which we obtain a similar theorem.

The results obtained in this paper may be considered as a generalization of the integro-differential equations in [7].

We shall adopt the definitions and notations used in [1] and [2].

Definition (1.1): Let f be a function which is defined almost everywhere (a.e.) on $[a, b]$. For $\alpha > 0$, we define

$$I_a^\alpha f = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^b (b-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad (1.5)$$

provided that this integral (Lebesgue) exists, where Γ is the Gamma function.

We also need the following two results for completeness.

Lemma (1.1): The initial value problem (1.1) and (1.2) is equivalent to the nonlinear integral equation

$$x(t) = \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \int_s^t K(\sigma, s, x(s)) d\sigma ds, \quad (1.6)$$

where $0 \leq t_0 < t \leq t_0 + a$.

In other words, every solution of the integral (1.6) is also a solution of our original initial value problem (1.1) and (1.2), and vice versa.

Proof: It can be proved easily by applying the integral operator (1.5) to both sides of (1.1), as we did in [4], and using some classical results from fractional calculus in [1] to get (1.6).

Theorem (1.1): Assume that

$$(i) \quad f \in C[J \times \mathfrak{R}^n, \mathfrak{R}^n], \quad K \in C[J \times J \times \mathfrak{R}^n, \mathfrak{R}^n] \quad \text{and} \quad \int_s^t |K(\sigma, s, x(s))| d\sigma \leq N \quad \text{for}$$

$$0 \leq t_0 < s < t \leq t_0 + a, \quad x \in \Omega = \left\{ \Phi \in C[J, \mathfrak{R}^n] : \Phi^{(\alpha-1)}(t_0) = x_0, \text{ and} \right.$$

$$\left. \left| \Phi(t) - \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \right| \leq b \right\};$$

$$(ii) \quad |f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq g(t, |x-y|) \quad \text{and} \quad |K(t, s, x) - K(t, s, y)| \leq H(t, s, |x-y|),$$

$$\text{where } g \in C[J \times [0, 2b], \mathfrak{R}_+], \quad H \in C[J \times J \times [0, 2b], \mathfrak{R}_+], \quad g(t, 0) \equiv 0,$$

$$H(t, s, 0) \equiv 0, \quad g(t, u) \text{ and } H(t, s, u) \text{ are non-decreasing in } u \text{ for each}$$

$$(t, s) \in J \times J \quad \text{and} \quad \int_s^t |H(\sigma, s, u(s))| d\sigma \leq N_0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t_0 < s < t \leq t_0 + a,$$

$$u \in \Omega^0 = \{u \in C[J, \mathfrak{R}_+] : |u(t)| \leq 2b\};$$

(iii) the comparison equation

$$u^{(\alpha)}(t) = g(t, u(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s, u(s)) ds, \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1,$$

$$u^{(\alpha-1)}(t_0) = u_0 \geq 0.$$

admits only the trivial solution.

Then the successive approximations defined by

$$x_{n+1}(t) = \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x_n(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \int_s^t K(\sigma, s, x_n(s)) d\sigma ds,$$

exists on $0 \leq t_0 < t \leq t_0 + \beta$, where $\beta^\alpha = \min\left\{a, \frac{b\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)}{M+N}\right\}$, as continuous functions and converge uniformly on this interval to the solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) and (1.2).

Proof: The proof of the above theorem is found in [9].

2. THE MAIN THEOREMS

In this section, we shall prove our main results, we begin by proving a theorem concerned with estimating a function satisfying an integro-fractional differential inequality by the maximal solution of the corresponding integro-fractional differential equation.

Theorem (2.1): Assume that

$g \in C[\mathfrak{R}_+^2, \mathfrak{R}]$, $H \in C[\mathfrak{R}_+^3, \mathfrak{R}]$, $H(t, s, u)$ is non-decreasing function in u for each (t, s) and for $t > t_0$,

$$m^{(\alpha)}(t) \leq g(t, m(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s, m(s)) ds, \quad (2.1)$$

where $m \in C[\mathfrak{R}_+, \mathfrak{R}]$. Suppose that $\gamma(t)$ is the maximal solution of

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u^{(\alpha)}(t) &= g(t, u(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s, u(s)) ds, \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ u^{(\alpha-1)}(t_0) &= u_0 \geq 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

existing on $0 \leq t_0 < t \leq t_0 + a$. Then

$$m(t) \leq \gamma(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (2.3)$$

provided that

$$m^{(\alpha-1)}(t_0) \leq u^{(\alpha-1)}(t_0) = u_0. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof: Let $t_0 > \tau$. By Theorem (1) in [10], the maximal solution $\gamma(t, \varepsilon)$ of

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u^{(\alpha)}(t) &= g(t, u(t)) + \varepsilon + \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s, u(s)) ds, \\ u^{(\alpha-1)}(t_0) &= u_0 + \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.5)$$

exists on $[t_0, \tau]$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, and

$$\gamma(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t, \varepsilon) \text{ uniformly on } [t_0, \tau]. \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.5) and (2.1) and applying the inequality (2.4), we find that

$$m(t) < \gamma(t, \varepsilon), \quad t \in [t_0, \tau].$$

The last inequality together with (2.6) proves the assertion (2.3) of the theorem.

To consider the problem of continuity of solutions of (1.1) with respect to initial values (t_0, x_0) , we need the following result

Theorem (2.2): Assume that

- (i) $f \in C[J \times \mathfrak{R}^n, \mathfrak{R}^n]$ and let $G(t, \gamma) = \max_{\left| x - \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \right| \leq \gamma} |f(t, x)|$;
- (ii) $K \in C[J \times J \times \mathfrak{R}^n, \mathfrak{R}^n]$ and let $H(t, s, \gamma) = \max_{\left| x - \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \right| \leq \gamma} |K(t, s, x)|$;
- (iii) $\gamma^*(t, t_0, 0)$ is the maximal solution of

$$u^{(\alpha)}(t) = G(t, u(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s, u(s)) ds,$$

$$u^{(1-\alpha)}(t_0) = 0.$$

If $x(t) = x(t, t_0, x_0)$ is any solution of (1.1) and (1.2) then

$$\left| x(t, t_0, x_0) - \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \right| < \gamma^*(t, t_0, 0), \quad t \geq t_0 .$$

Proof:

Define the function

$$v(t) = \left| x(t) - \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \right|. \quad (2.7)$$

Then from (2.7) we have

$$v(t) = \left| x(t) - \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \right| \leq |x(t)|, \quad (2.8)$$

and therefore

$$v^{(\alpha)}(t) \leq |x^{(\alpha)}(t)| = \left| f(t, x(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t K(t, s, x(s)) ds \right|$$

$$\leq \max_{\left| x - \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \right| \leq v(t)} |f(t, x)| + \int_{t_0}^t \max_{\left| x - \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \right| \leq v(s)} |K(t, s, x(s))| ds$$

$$= G(t, v(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s(t), v(s)) ds$$

This implies by Theorem(2.1) that

$$v(t) = \left| x(t, t_0, x_0) - \frac{x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t - t_0)^{\alpha-1} \right| \leq \gamma^*(t, t_0, 0), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

And hence the theorem is proved.

Now we are going to prove the continuous dependence of solutions $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ of (1.1) with respect to initial values (t_0, x_0) .

Theorem (2.3): Let the hypothesis of Theorem (1.1) be satisfied. Suppose that the solutions $u(t, t_0, u_0)$ of (2.2) through every point (t_0, u_0) are continuous with respect to initial conditions (t_0, u_0) . Then the solutions $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ of (1.1) are unique and continuous with respect to the initial values (t_0, x_0) .

Proof:

Since the uniqueness follows from Theorem (1.1), we have to prove the continuity part only. To that end, let $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ and $y(t, t_0, x_0)$ be the solutions of (1.1) through (t_0, x_0) and (t_0, y_0) respectively. Define $v(t) = |x(t, t_0, x_0) - y(t, t_0, y_0)|$; then condition (ii) in Theorem (2.2) implies the integro-fractional differential inequality

$$v^{(\alpha)}(t) \leq g(t, v(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s(t), v(s)) ds.$$

By Theorem (2.1), we obtain $v(t) \leq \gamma(t, t_0, \frac{(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |x_0 - y_0|)$, $t \geq t_0$, where

$\gamma(t, t_0, \frac{(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |x_0 - y_0|)$ is the maximal solution of (2.2), such that

$u^{(\alpha-1)}(t_0) = |x_0 - y_0|$. Since the solution $u(t, t_0, u_0)$ of (2.2) is assumed to be continuous with respect to the initial values, it follows that

$\lim_{x_0 \rightarrow y_0} \gamma(t, t_0, \frac{(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |x_0 - y_0|) = \gamma(t, t_0, 0)$. By hypothesis $\gamma(t, t_0, 0) \equiv 0$. This in view

of the fact the definition of $v(t)$ yields $\lim_{x_0 \rightarrow y_0} x(t, t_0, x_0) = y(t, t_0, x_0)$, which shows the continuity of $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ with respect to x_0 .

We shall next prove the continuity with respect to t_0 .

If $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ and $y(t, t_1, x_0)$, $t_1 > t_0$, are the solutions of (1.1) through (t_0, x_0) and (t_1, x_0) respectively then, as before, we obtain the inequality

$$v^{(\alpha)}(t) \leq g(t, v(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s(t), v(s)) ds,$$

where $v(t) = |x(t, t_0, x_0) - y(t, t_1, x_0)|$. Also $v(t_1) = |x(t_1, t_0, x_0) - x_0|$. Hence, by Theorem(2.2), $v(t_1) \leq \gamma^*(t_1, t_0, 0)$, and consequently $v(t) \leq \tilde{\gamma}(t)$, $t_1 > t_0$, where $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \tilde{\gamma}(t, t_1, \gamma^*(t_1, t_0, 0))$ is the maximal of (2.2) through $(t_1, \gamma^*(t_1, t_0, 0))$. Since $\gamma^*(t_0, t_0, 0) \equiv 0$, we have

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow t_0} \tilde{\gamma}(t, t_1, \gamma^*(t_1, t_0, 0)) = \tilde{\gamma}(t, t_0, 0),$$

and by hypothesis $\tilde{\gamma}(t, t_0, 0)$ is identically zero, thus proving the continuity of $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ with respect to t_0 . The proof of the theorem is complete.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are pleased to express thanks to United Arab Emirates University for its financial support

REFERENCES

1. J. Barrett, Differential equations of non-integer order, *Canad. J. Math.*, **6** 529-541, (1954).
2. M. Bassam, Some existence theorems on differential equations of generalized order, *J. fur die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, Band **218**, 70-78, (1965).
3. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear operators, part 1*, Interscience, New-Yourk, 1958.
4. S. B. Hadid and J. AL-Shamani, Liapunov stability of differential equations of non-integer order, *Arab J. Math.*, Vol. **5**, No. 1 and 2, 5-17, (1986).
5. S. B. Hadid, Local and global existence theorems on differential equations of non-integer order, *J. Fractional Calculus*, Vol. **7**, May, 111-115, (1995).

6. S. B. Hadid and S. M. Momani, Liapunov stability solutions of integro-fractional differential equations, Submitted for *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*
7. V. Lakshmikantham and M. R. Rao, *Theory of integro-differential equations*, Gordon Breach Sci. Pub., Lausanne, 1995.
8. S. Momani; Local and global existence theorems on fractional Integro-differential equations, *J. Fractional Calculus*, Vol. **18**, November, 81-86, (2000).
9. S. M. Momani; Some existence fractional integro-differential equations, accepted for publication in *Abhath Al-Yarmouk Journal*, Yarmouk University.
10. S. M. Momani and R. El-Khazali, On the existence of extremal solutions of the fractional integro-differential equations, *J. Fractional Calculus*, Vol. **18**, November, 87-92, (2000).
11. S. M. Momani and S. B. Hadid, On the inequalities of integro-fractional differential equations, Submitted for *Arch. Inequal. Appl. J.*
12. O. Plaat, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Holden-Day, Inc. San Francisco, 1971.
13. M. R. Rao, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, East-West Press PVT limited, 1980.